

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

エゥ

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/420,046 10/18/99 LIN, M. D. P07-43084 **EXAMINER** HM22/0313 EDWARD G. POPLAWSKI, ESQ. TRAN. SIDLEY & AUSTIN PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 555 WEST FIFTH STREET LOS ANGLES CA 90013-1010 1615 DATE MAILED: 03/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/420,046 Applicant(s)

Lin

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Susan Tran

Group Art Unit 1615

XI Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 18, 2000	•
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal ma in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11;	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-95	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 21-23, 25-27, 32, 33, 37-40, and 43-52	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-20, 24, 28-31, 34-36, 41, 42, and 53-95	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are s	•
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	he Examiner. approved disapproved. U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ty documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	<u>& 7</u>
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	WING PAGES

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/420046

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicants Corrected Filing Receipt filed 12/27/99, Information Disclosure Statement filed 02/03/00 and Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed 12/18/00, Request for Extension of Time filed 12/18/00, Amendment A filed 12/18/00, and Change of Address filed 12/18/00.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's arguments filed 3/13/98 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-20, 24, 28-31, 34-36, 41, 42, 53-95, drawn to a composition comprising drug, lipid, and carrier, classified in class 424, subclass 464, and species a) coated microspheres or particles in Paper No. 6.

Claims 21-23, 25-27, 23, 33, 37-40, and 43-52 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.

Requirement for restriction practice are set forth in MPEP&803.

There are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentable distinct inventions:

1. The inventions must be distinct as claimed (see MPEP && 806.05-806.05(I)); and

Application/Control Number: 09/420046 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

2. There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required (see MPEP && 803.02, 806.04(a)-(j), 808.01(a) and 808.02).

The traversal is on the ground(s) that the distinctions between Group I and Group II are arbitrary and are arbitrarily applied to the instant claims, and therefore the requirement is improper. This is not found persuasive because:

A serious burden on the examiner is shown according to the criteria of MPEP&808.02, where one of the following must be supported by appropriate explanation:

1. Separate classification thereof;

This shows that each distinct subject has attained recognition in the art as a separate subject for inventive effort, and also a separate field of search. Patents need not be cited to show separate classification.

- 2. A separate status in the art when they are classifiable together; and
- 3. A different field of search....

In the restriction requirement of 09/08/00, the examiner set forth separate classification for the two inventions to which claims were presented. Classification of the invention of Group I claims is in class 424. Classification of the invention of Group II claims is in class 426.

Applicant has not alleged that either invention of Group I or Group II claims were improperly classified. Nor has applicant alleged that the classifications set forth are not "separate classifications." Thus, requirement 2 of MPEP&803 is met. For these reasons set forth above, the restriction requirement is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/420046 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-20, 24, 28-31, 34-36, 41, 42, 53-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 12, 15, 16-19, 25, 26, 44, 49, and 54-63 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,977,175. This is a double patenting rejection.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-5816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 5